UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/756,588	01/12/2004	Chris J. Diederich	USCF00-066-2	3148
8156 JOHN P. O'B <i>A</i>	7590 07/24/2007 NION	EXAMINER		
O'BANION & RITCHEY LLP			TRUONG, KEVIN THAO	
SACRAMENT	MALL SUITE 1550 CO, CA 95814		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3734	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

E	2
~	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/756,588	DIEDERICH ET A	AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin T. Truong	3734				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	on appears on the cover sh	et with the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	CRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IS IN 1987 THE MAILING I	NG DATE OF THIS COMN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ion. period will apply and will expire SIX (i y statute, cause the application to bec	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed by MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	07 May 2007.	•				
•	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>64-92</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>68,69,82 and 83</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>64-67, 69-81, and 84-92</u> is/are	rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement	nt.				
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ object	ed to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in a	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the dr	awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the att	ached Office Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
• —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	oreign priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		.i				
,	1. Certified copies of the priority docu						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* 9	See the attached detailed Office action for	,					
•							
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pener Ne(s) Mail Date							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application							
	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

Art Unit: 3734

DETAILED ACTION

Note: This is in response to an amendment field 05/07/2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 64-67, 69-81, and 84-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swaminathan (U.S. 6,517,533).

Swaminathan discloses in figure 10, a method for treatment of the prostate gland as recited in the claims as follows: placing the distal end of a catheter (90) between the rectum and the prostate gland; inflating a balloon (98) mounted on the distal end of the catheter (90) between the prostate gland and the rectal wall, wherein the balloon includes a sensor (106) for sensing and monitoring the temperature of the rectal wall and the surface of the prostate gland during the treatment of the prostate gland as well as fluid within the balloon (98) (see col. 6, line 32 thru col. 8, line 20); and furthermore, circulating and replacing fluid through lumens (96,100,108) below or higher normal body temperature during the treatment of the prostate gland by thermotherapy.

3. Claims 64-67, 69-81, and 84-92 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dann et al. (U.S. 5,899,932).

Application/Control Number: 10/756,588

Art Unit: 3734

Dann et al discloses in figures 2-11, a method for treatment of the prostate gland as recited in the claims as follows: placing the distal end of a catheter (32) between the rectum and the prostate gland; inflating a balloon (34) mounted on the distal end of the catheter (32) between the prostate gland and the rectal wall, wherein the balloon includes a sensor (71) for sensing and monitoring the temperature of the rectal wall and the surface of the prostate gland during the treatment of the prostate gland as well as fluid within the balloon (34); and furthermore, circulating and replacing fluid through lumens (64A,64B,66A,66B) below or higher normal body temperature during the treatment of the prostate gland by thermotherapy.

Page 3

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 05/07/2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that Swaminathan and Dann references fail to teach or suggest placing the distal end of catheter (90) (32) in space between the rectum and the prostate gland and inflating the balloon (98) (34) to displace the prostate from the rectal wall and to displace the target tissue from the non-targeted tissue, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. As to amended claim 72, Swaminathan disclosed the step of circulating and replacing fluid through lumen (96,100,108) higher normal body temperature during treatment in which capable of

Art Unit: 3734

being heated the prostate gland as recited in the claim. At least for this reason, the Examiner disagrees with Applicant's remarks and has maintained the grounds of rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 (b) as being anticipated by Swaminathan '533 and Dann et al. '932 for the same reasons as set forth in the previous office action.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin T. Truong whose telephone number is 571-272-4705. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hayes can be reached on 571-272-4959. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/756,588

Art Unit: 3734

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kevin T. Trueng Primary Examiner Art Unit 3734

ktt